1 2 3 4 5	William Turley, Esq. (122408) David Mara, Esq. (230498) Jamie Serb, Esq. (289601) Tony Roberts, Esq. (315595) THE TURLEY & MARA LAW FIRM, A 7428 Trade Street San Diego, California 92121 Telephone: (619) 234-2833 Facsimile: (619) 234-4048	PLC		
6 7	Attorneys for NATHANIEL HELTON, on behalf of himself, all others similarly s and on behalf of the general public.	ituated,		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &amp; HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations</li> <li>SAMANTHA D. HARDY, Cal. Bar No. 199125</li> <li>ASHLEY T. HIRANO, Cal. Bar No. 265282</li> <li>DANIEL F. DE LA CRUZ, Cal. Bar No. 292537</li> <li>501 West Broadway, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor</li> <li>San Diego, California 92101-3598</li> <li>Telephone: 619.338.6500</li> <li>Facsimile: 619.234.3815</li> <li>Email: shardy@sheppardmullin.com ahirano@sheppardmullin.com</li> </ul>			
15 16	Attorneys for Defendants PEPSI-COLA SALES AND DISTRIBUTION, INC.; NEW BERN TRANSPORT CORPORATION; AND PEPSICO, INC.			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19	NATHANIEL HELTON, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01135-EMC		
20		ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT OF CLASS		
21	Plaintiff,	ACTION CLAIMS		
22	V.	Date: July 30, 2018 Time: 1:30 p.m.		
23	PEPSI-COLA SALES AND DISTRIBUTION, INC.; NEW BERN	Judge: Edward M. Chen Ctrm.: 5		
24 25	TRANSPORT CORPORATION; PEPSICO, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Action Filed: January 25, 2017		
23 26 27	Defendants.	FAC Filed:January 25, 2017FAC Filed:December 12, 2017SAC Filed:June 6, 2018Action Removed:March 6, 2017Trial Date:Not set		
28				
	SMRH:486953421.1	ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND SETTLEME OF CLASS ACTION CLAIR		

## ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT OF CLASS ACTION CLAIMS

Nathaniel Helton ("Named Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and each of the 3 other Plaintiffs, and Defendants, Pepsi-Cola Sales and Distribution, Inc.; New Bern 4 Transport Corporation; Pepsico, Inc. and DOES 1-100 (collectively "Defendants" or 5 6 "New Bern"), in the action pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3-17-cv-01135 ("Class Action" or 7 "Lawsuit"), and subject to the approval of the Court, hereby enter into the following 8 Addendum to Stipulation and Settlement Agreement of Class Action Claims 9 ("Addendum"). This Addendum is made in accordance with Section IX.19 of the 10 Stipulation and Settlement Agreement of Class Action Claims ("Agreement"). 11 PROVISIONS 12 13 1. Terms used in this Addendum are as defined in the Agreement. 14 2. Based on guidance from the Court, the Parties have met and conferred and agree to the following modifications to the Agreement: 15 16 The final paragraph of Section IX.8 of the Agreement shall be (a) revised to state the following: "Class Counsel agree to file their motion for the Class 17 Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs Payments at least twenty-one (21) days prior to 18 the deadline to file a written objection to the Settlement. 19 The following phrase shall be deleted from Section IX.8 of the 20 (b)Agreement: "pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 384." 21 22 (c)The following phrase shall be deleted from Section IX.9.a of the 23 Agreement: "pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 384." 24 (d)Section IX.9.e of the Agreement shall be revised to state the 25 following language in accordance with federal common law regarding cy pres distributions, and at the Order of the Court: "Cause the Claims Administrator to 26 make any and all cy pres payments to the United Way. The United Way meets the 27 28 -1-

ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION CLAIMS

mandates of federal common law governing cy pres because it is an organization 1 2 that supports (1) the objectives of the underlying statutes; and (2) the interests of the 3 silent class members; and it is not too far removed from the plaintiff class. The United Way of California is an umbrella organization, supporting multiple local 4 5 United Ways throughout the state that all serve the public by working towards financial stability of the citizens they support. Many of these local United Ways 6 7 have specific programs aimed at promoting steady, gainful employment of 8 Californians, something that meets the objectives of a lawsuit brought with the aim 9 of enforcing employee rights, and supports silent class members through the variety of programs offered. For example, the United Way Bay Area, the local program 10 11 covering the location in which Named Plaintiff worked, has programs such as "SparkPoint", which operates counseling centers throughout the Bay Area that offer 12 13 job coaching and training, career development, and business development, providing 14 Northern Californians with the skills needed to find and maintain a lifelong 15 career. The United Way Bay Area also offers programs like "Mayors Youth 16 Jobs+", which helps young adults find employment through internships, 17 apprenticeships, trainings and other opportunities to allow future members of the 18 workforce to obtain employment and post-secondary opportunities. Beyond just 19 programs like these that support job seekers and employees in the Bay Area, the United Way also advocates at the policy level for an increase to the State minimum 20 wage, something implicated explicitly in this lawsuit, and is in the interest of the 21 22 plaintiff class.

(e) The final sentence in the fourth paragraph of Section IX.12.d.2
of the Agreement shall be revised to state the following: "No less than fourteen (14)
days before the Final Approval Hearing, the Claims Administrator will prepare and
submit to Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendants for filing in support of the
motion any supplemental declaration as applicable."

28

-2-

1	(f) The final paragraph of Section IX.12.e of the Agreement shall be		
2	revised to state the following: "Checks paid to Class Members and Settlement Class		
3	Members shall remain valid and negotiable for one hundred eighty (180) days from		
4	the date of their issuance ("Check Stale Date") and may thereafter automatically be		
5	canceled if not cashed by a Class Member or Settlement Class Member within that		
6	time, at which time the Class Member or Settlement Class Member's claim will be		
7	deemed void and of no further force and effect. The funds corresponding to voided		
8	checks pursuant to this paragraph shall be distributed cy pres, as described further		
9	herein."		
10			
11			
12		NAMED PLAINTIFF	
13			
14	Date:	Nathaniel Helton	
15			
16			
17		PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL	
18	THE TURLEY & MARA LAW FIRM, APLC		
19			
20	Date:	By:	
21		William Turley David Mara	
22		Jamie Serb	
23		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
24			
25		DEFENDANTS	
26		E al /s	
27	Date: 7/5/2018	By: An A.P.	
28		Its: Law Department Manager	
	SMRH:486953421.1	-3- ADDENDUM TO STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT	
	OF CLASS ACTION CLAIMS		

